IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

FRIDAY, THE 12TH JANUARY 2007 / 22ND PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 103 of 2007(K)

PETITIONER:

SRI.M.MOHAMMED ASLAM,
KAPPAT HOUSE,
PADINJATTAMURI P.O.,
(VIA) KOOTTILANGADI,MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.RAVINDRAN

RESPONDENTS:

1. **THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,**
   MALAPPPURAM.

2. **THE SECRETARY,**
   RTA, MALAPPURAM.

3. **K.S.R.T.C.,**
   REPRESENTED BY ITS DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER,
   THRISSUR.

4. **STATE TRANSPORT APPELLATE TRIBUNAL,**
   ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.K.PRABHAKARAN, SC, K.S.R.T.C.
GOVT.PLEADER SRI.M.F.FAYAZ

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R.RAMAN, J.

W.P.(C) NO. 103 OF 2007

Dated this the 12th day of January, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The challenge made in this writ petition is against Exhibit P10 order passed by the Appellate Tribunal confirming the order passed by the RTA. Though the RTA by Exhibit P3 rejected the claim for variation on the ground that since the counter signature applied is from Malappuram to Manjeri which is well served by KSRTC and private buses including higher class service with time gap. But the appellate authority found in Exhibit P10 that even in the existing route there is an overlapping of more than 33 Kms and therefore further overlapping cannot be permitted.

In such circumstances, I do not find any good reason to interfere with the order. Writ petition is dismissed.

P.R.RAMAN, JUDGE

Rp